

The Power of Data:

Big Data to Dashboards (and Beyond), making the most out of your data investment

Presented by:

Simon Gibbons, Technical Director (ERM) Natasha Hausmann PhD, Senior Scientist (ERM) Brian Henry, Principal Consultant (ERM)

Guest Speaker: Johan De Fraye, Head of Environmental Affairs (Signify)

October 11, 2018

© Copyright 2018 by ERM Worldwide Group Limited and/or its affiliates ('ERM'). All Rights Reserved. No part of this work may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, without prior written permission of ERM.



The business of sustainability

Today's Speakers



Simon Gibbons Technical Director - ERM simon.gibbons@erm.com



Brian Henry *Principal Consultant - ERM* <u>brian.henry@erm.com</u>



Natasha Hausmann, PhD Senior Scientist - ERM natasha.hausmann@erm.com



Johan De Fraye Signify Johan.de.fraye@signify.com

Health and Safety Moment: Insight through Visualization



 Spill modelling work has identified practical H&S risks for the workforce

Agenda



Data Management Retrospective

- Where did we come from?
- Where are we going?
- How do we most cost effectively link these together?

Speaker: Simon Gibbons (ERM)



The Value of Data Management

- Case Studies: Automated Reporting
- Case Study: Advanced Analytics

Speaker: Tasha Haussmann (ERM)



The Power of Dashboards

- The Value of Dashboards
- Case Study: Use of Dashboards to facilitate change

Guest Speaker: Johan De Fraye (Signify) Speaker: Brian Henry (ERM)



Data Management Retrospective

Speaker: Simon Gibbons (ERM)



Moving on from the 1990's







The Role of Data and the Data Manager

Data waster

Collects data but severely underuse them



Data collector

Collects data but do not consistently maximize their value

Aspiring data manager

Understands value of data and marshals resources to take better advantage

Strategic data manager

Has well-defined data-management strategies that focus on collecting and analyzing the most valuable data







Digital Maturity – a Corporate View



The benefits from working in a maturing company...



Digital Maturity Level 1 Moving from Analog

L1 Characteristics

- Isolated Data Sets
- Pen & Paper → Excel
- Bespoke Reports
- Reports On Hard Copies/Hard Drives
- Lack Of Transparency

INCONSISTENT AND INEFFICIENT



Digital Maturity Level 2 Making the Digital Leap

L2 Characteristics

- Digital Data Collection
- Single Source Of Truth
- Speed Up Decision Making Process (Real Time)

RELIABLE AND

EFFICIENT

 Consistent And Efficient Reporting



Digital Maturity Level 3 Integrating on Digital

L3 Characteristics

- Connecting Business Data Sources
- Data Insights Leading To Optimization
- Better Visualization (3D) → Stakeholders
- Efficient Decision Making Process





Digital Maturity Level 4 Into Data Mining

L4 Characteristics

- Predictive Analytics
- Machine Learning For Data Analysis
- Automated Decision Making

To Artificial Intelligence, Virtual Reality And Beyond

FORWARD-LOOKING AND PROGRESSIVE

Role of a Strong Digital Foundation



ERM Digital Foundation



12

What Should We Ask Ourselves? *McKinsey's C-Suite Questions... Digital = Data*





The Value of Data Management

Speaker: Tasha Hausmann (ERM)

Automation and data analytics reduce reporting burden

Challenge:

- 200 custom regulatory reports
- Must be completed annually with 4-6 weeks to complete all analyses and reports

Approach:

Leverage R and Rstudio to create a reporting template that could be automated

Benefits:

- 65% reduction in annual statistical analysis and reporting costs and 50% reduction in report production costs.
- Overall improvement in the efficiency, accuracy, quality and timeliness of reporting.



Leveraging data means modernizing work flows and processes





Establishing an insights road map for Power Clients Begin with the end in mind

Client Challenge:

Establish a groundwater monitoring program for all coal ash landfills and impoundments

Recommendations

- Establish a compliant yet flexible decision framework and implement these with the right digital tools
- Employ data analytics at key strategic decision points
- Carefully consider regulatory timeline and program phases



Controlled, Web-based Access to Database



Automated Analysis and Reporting for Compliance Testing

- R Markdown reports executes decision framework
- Auto-population of tables, figures and text
- Comparisons to regulatory limits (UCLs, UTLs, and UPLs)





- Grand Tower_-F1_OutlierPlot_2018-04-24.pdf
 Grand Tower_-F2_Timeseries_Upgradient_2018-04-24.pdf
- Grand Tower_-F3_Boxplots_Screening_2018-06-21.pdf
- Grand Tower-Analysis_2018-06-21.xlsx
- GWMR_GrandTower_20180621.docx

37 - #--38 #SOIL & SEDIMENT

1 DRAFT: PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL, Text Reproducible documents with **Tables** formatted tables **Figures** R Project: (None) (mg/kg) DataSetun ManAirP18 20170519.8 🕞 🍼 🧣 🖻 Pormat - 👘 Compile PDF -**Document Text** documentclass(article) \begin{document} \paragraph(NMDS Analysis) Some of the NMDS models failed to converge meaning that they did not find multiple best solutions that had similar results. For these models, a three dimensional solution was tried to see if convergence could be achived. If the model failed to converge with both two and three dimensional solutions, the best result was reported. The stress of the model is also reported. Generally, a stress less than 0.1 is considered an excellent model fit. Stress values between 0.1 and 0.2 are considered good model fits, and higher stress 000-00 values indicate that a different model solution should be considered. 8 9 - <<MNDS.DataGaps , warning = FALSE, message = FALSE, echo = FALSE, results = 'asis', fig.width = 7.5, fig .height = 9>>= 10 - ##FDataGaps Statistical Code 00-02.5 cm 00-15 cm 15-30 cm 30-60 cm 10 + ###DataGaps 12 #Total number of results print(xtable(aggregate(wide0[,nmdscocs], list(wide0%data_loc2), function(x) signif(sum(!is.na(x)), 2)), caption = "Full Dataset: Number of results for each analyte", 14 label="Nall.wide0", auto = TRUE), include, rownames = FALSE, scale = .7) (mg/kg) 19 #Total proportion of results label="Prop.dataGap.wide0", auto = TRUE), include.rownames = FALSE, scale = .7) \paragraph(NMDS Analysis) 28 28 Uparagraph(MDS Analysis) 9 some of the MOUS models failed to converge meaning that they did not find multiple best solutions that had similar results. For these models, a three dimensional solution was tried to see if convergence could be achived. If the model failed to converge with both two and three dimensional solutions, the best result was reported. The stress of the model is also reported. Generally, a stress less than 0.1 is considered an excellent model fit. Stress values between 0.1 and 0.2 are considered good model fits, and higher stress 00-02.5 cm 00-15 cm 15-30 cm 30-60 cm 60+ cm 00-02.5 cm 00-15 cm 15-30 cm 30-60 cm 60+ cm values indicate that a different model solution should be considered. 32 · <<NMDSruns , warning = FALSE, message = FALSE, echo = FALSE, results = 'hide'>>=

Dynamic Reports

appealing figures and

Code in R studio

35 source(file.path(codeDir, "y_mdsmodelfcn.R"))

40 soilsedMDS_result = output[[2]

9 output = mdsmodelfcn(soilsed_wide[, -which(colnames(soilsed_wide) %in% grp_columns)])

NMDS Data Gaps | Tables 49 and 50 show the number of results and proportion of results in the initial dataset. The tables that follow show the number of detected results in the datasets for each NMDS run. Note that which analytes and samples are included in these datasets is based on pre-defined completeness criteria for each sample and analyte.

,71

	Tal	le 49:	Full Da	taset: Ni	umber of	results	for e	ach ana	lyte		
Group.1	Aluminum	Arsenic	Beryllium	Cadmium	Chromium	Copper	Lead	Mercury	Nickel	Total.PCBs	Zinc
UOP Soil	8	8	8	8	20	8	20	20	8	20	20
E Rutherford	21	170	170	370	380	430	530	650	370	120	390
UPIC	42	42	42	42	42	42	42	86	42	83	42
UBC	300	300	300	300	290	300	300	500	300	480	290
MBC	370	370	370	370	450	370	370	660	370	650	370
LBC	140	140	140	140	140	140	140	240	140	230	140
BCC	89	88	88	88	88	88	88	130	88	120	89







Advanced Data Analytics Reduce Cleanup Liability

0.6

0.8

Original

cleanup

footprint



The Power of Dashboards Part 1

Speaker: Johan De Fraye (Signify)

Environmental Affairs Dashboard August 2018

Sustainability

	KPI	Actual
Remediated sites receiving green label	2	3
Sites sold for beneficial reuse	2	2





Spotlight on

"Passion for results": Name-surname was able to successfully conclude negotiations with the yyy agency, to take an alternative approach to the deep impacted aquifer at site b. This led to a release of EUR nn mil.

YTD spend in M EUR



Field Health & Safety

KPIs	YTD	Target
Field hours suppliers	xx,xxx	NA
# near misses	уу	NA
# incidents	W	0
Field walkthroughs	t	Rr

Milestones planned vs actual Top 10 sites



■ plan YTD ■ actuals ITM

Comments

Description Cumulative spend in July was EUR yy mil, EUR x mil below the latest forecast. We all need to work on more accurate forecasting.

Milestone compliance dropped further to uu%, in proportional relationship with the spend slow down.

Site w: Kick-off for decommissioning was conducted with all stakeholders.

Site d: Agency meeting went well and we can now start working on remedial feasibility study.

YTD Value Add

	M EUR M		ain contributions		
Avoided	J.j	Sit	е х, у, z		
Releases	k.K	Sit	e a,b, c		
Major Dic	ke & lecuoe				
	ks & issues	·			
Risk or Issue		Impact			
Site m : VI in: school	side newly-bui	Need for engineering measures			
Site n: off-si exposure; m legislation	ite impact and ore restrictive	Fine and financial compensation; costly countermeasures			
Site p: longe redevelopm additional co	er than expecte ent; possible osts for asbest	Increase in long term costs; reputation			
Site s: agenc remedial clo	cy not acceptir sure	Additional investigations; no sal of site			
Site q : bankı	ruptcy of owne	Potential apportionment of remaining liability			



The Power of Dashboards Part 2

Speaker: Brian Henry (ERM)

Make Your Data more Accessible



Statistical Analysis (Snapshot)

Converting to a Visual

Event Heat Map - Incidents & Near-Miss

Data...Knowledge...Insight

Safety Maturity Dashboard Total Responses **Business Units** Employee Grade Distribution **Business Units** 20% 8194 16387 10% 14 22123 WN9 CONTRACT GETS MI NR NR 24581 0% Below M9 N6 MI MA NS 18 19 7604 Place of Work Work Experience Age Distribution Participation by Business Unit VA-L 290 (3.8%) ----- BA 1038 (13.7%) In the Field /--- <1 10.5% 6-10 34.7% -40-44 6.5% In the Office VA-J 1420 (18.7%) 20-24 17.3% >20 8.7% 669 (8.8%) 35-39 CM 12 (0.2%) Departments 11-20 19.0% PL 63 (0.8%) lite 515 (6.8%) Administration L 1778 (23.4%) 25-29 22.9% Commercial and Procurement 30-34 25.8% 895 (11.8%) 1-5 27.1% ----MALI 11 (0.1%) —/ Im 594 (7.8%) Maintenance and Engineering



(Blank)

(Blank)

Finance

🗆 HR

Mines

Others

Planning

Power Plant

Production

Projects

Security

- --

Occupational HSE

Quality Assurance

Both



Data Curation – Art and Science

Data Profiling

 Uncover data defects with data archeology – analyze the data for correctness, completeness, uniqueness, consistency and "sanity"

Data Cleansing

 After profiling is complete focus on cleaning the critical and important areas – need to prioritize effort

Data Quality Safeguards

• Establish logic, programming, checks to prevent future "dirty data"

Data Quality Standards and Training

• Establish governance process, data stewards and develop training to drive these standards and guidelines across the enterprise

Improving Data Quality – The Journey



Inadequate Data Quality (DQ) Process

Data Profiling; Cleansing

DQ Safeguards; Training

Enterprise DQ Standards and Guidelines

Continuous DQ Improvement Process

Start With a Well-Defined Business Process (People & Process)



Process Data Flow + Technology = Insight

www.erm.com



33

People, Process and Technology – what does your virtuous cycle look like?

Scope: Map out HSE processes and highlight common activities, measuring number of systems and communications for current state.







Wrap-up

Speaker: Simon Gibbons (ERM)

Wrap-up

- 1. Individual and organisational maturity
- 2. What are the problem statements and/or hypotheses requiring testing?
- 3. Don't under-estimate the value in good data management

op Question Scores

- 4. Big data \neq Big reports
- 5. Data is a journey



Questions?

#6 to unmute to ask a question



All participants will receive a followup email with a link to this presentation recording next week.

Thank you for attending today's session!

Remediation Roundtables

Chicago 10/18 – 10/19

Speakers: Andy Huggins, *ERM* Denice Nelson, *ERM* Nadine Weinberg, *ERM* Brett Whittleton, *AkzoNobel* Stephan Evanoff, *Danaher* David Fischer, *American Chemistry Council* David Tsao, *ITRC* Houston

Speakers: Andy Huggins, *ERM* David Robbins, *ERM* Katrina Patterson, *ERM* Jeff Bauguss, *ERM* Mitch Zimmerman, *ERM* David Angle, *ERM* John Kuhn, *ERM* David DeCourcey-Bower, *ERM* Jaydeep Parikh, *ERM* David Tsao, *ITRC* Myna Letlow, *BHGE*

Sao Paulo

Speakers: Andy Huggins, *ERM* Paulo Santos, *ERM* Michael Kohnke, *Shell Global Solutions* Antonio Chaves, *Umicore* Leticia Monterio, *Bayer* Rodrigo Silveira, *Tupy Fundições* Bianca Antacli, *TozziniFreire Advogados*

More information: https://www.erm.com/en/news-events/event-registration/erm-global-remediation-management-roundtable-in-chicago/



Thank you

Simon Gibbons

Technical Director UK & Northern Europe simon.gibbons@erm.com +44 7557 540 526 Bristol, UK

Andrew Huggins Partner: Mid Atlantic andrew.huggins@erm.com +1 (609) 403-7512 Ewing, USA

